1 2	PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW PI DAVID M. FURBUSH #83447 david.furbush@pillsburylaw.com 2550 Hanover Street	ГТМАN LLP	E-FILED 2/29/16 JS-6
3	Palo Alto, CA 94304-1115	a. (650) 222 454	E .
4	Telephone: (650) 233-4500 / Facsimile: (650) 233-4545 PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMAN LLP JUSTIN L. BROSSIER #285443 justin.brossier@pillsburylaw.com ELAINE Y. LEE #293452 elaine.lee@pillsburylaw.com 725 S. Figueroa Street, Suite 2800 Los Angeles, CA 90017-5406 Telephone: (213) 488-7100 / Facsimile: (213) 629-1033		
5			
6			
7			
8			
9	Attorneys for Petitioner		
10	CEEG (SHANGHAI) SOLAR SCIENCE & TECHNOLOGY CO., LTD.		
11			
12	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
13	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
14	WESTERN DIVISION- LOS ANGELES		
15) $C_{\text{ase}} \text{ No } 2.1$	5-cv-07339-PSG
16	CEEG (SHANGHAI) SOLAR SCIENCE & TECHNOLOGY CO.,	(JPR)	
17	LTD.,) [PROPOSED] JUDGMENT IG ARBITRATION
18	Petitioner,	AWARD	NO ARDITRATION
19	VS.) Courtroom:	880 Dhilin S. Cutioman
20	SUNVALLEY SOLAR, INC.,		Philip S. Gutierrez
21	Respondent.	<pre>}</pre>	
22		_{	
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
_0	706155368v1 -	- 1 -	
	4844-0871-4542.v. [PROPOSED] JUDGMENT CONFIRMING ARBITRATION AWARD		

Petitioner CEEG (Shanghai) Solar Science & Technology Co., Ltd.'s ("Petitioner") Petition to Confirm Foreign Arbitration Award ("Petition") is pending before the Court (Dkt. No. 1). Having carefully considered the papers filed in support of and in opposition to the Petition, as well as to Petitioner's Motion to Confirm Arbitration Award, the Court enters judgment as follows:

6

1

2

3

4

5

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED:

7 Judgment is hereby entered in favor of Petitioner against Respondent 8 Sunvalley Solar, Inc. ("Respondent") in accordance with the Arbitral Award 9 issued by the Shanghai International Economic and Trade Arbitration 10 Commission on December 10, 2013, Case No. SG2013015 (Dkt. No. 5, 11 Exhibit 1), and in the following amounts:

12 (i) USD \$1,000,000.00, representing the principal amount awarded 13 to Petitioner under the Arbitral Award, plus interest awarded by SIETAC, 14 RMB 1,132,093.00 as of December 10, 2013, and interest in the amount of 15 RMB 995,068.31, calculated at the applicable rate under the Arbitral Award 16 from December 10, 2013 through the date of filing of the proposed judgment 17 in this case;

18

(ii) RMB 520,900.00, representing the currency exchange loss 19 awarded to Petitioner under the Arbitral Award;

20(iii) RMB 185,955.80, representing the attorneys' fees in connection 21 with the SIETAC arbitration awarded to Petitioner under the Arbitral Award; 22 and

23 (iv) RMB 186,283.00 representing the arbitration fees awarded to 24 Petitioner under the Arbitral Award; and

25 Post-judgment interest, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1961, which (\mathbf{v}) 26 amounts to the sum of USD \$13.97 plus RMB 42.20 per day after entry of 27 judgment, compounded annually, based on the applicable interest rate as of the

28

